

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-53862-2023

Date of Decision :08.01.2024

Vinay Setia

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Salil Dev Singh Bali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. Through the instant petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks direction to the learned revisional court to complete proceedings in CRR No.96 of 2021, titled as “Vinay Setia vs. State of Punjab”, filed in pursuant to framing of charges (Annexure P-3), in case FIR no.36, dated 18.04.2019, under Sections 406, 424 and 506 of the IPC, registered at Police Station City Fazilka, District Fazilka (Annexure P-1)

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the sole grievance raised in the instant petition by the petitioner is that the statutory revision preferred by the petitioner before the learned revisional court concerned, against the framing of charge is still pending since 2021, and till date it has not been decided.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that there is no delay on the part of the petitioner, however, same has been adjourned for one reason or the other.

4. Notice of motion to respondent no 1 only.
5. Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.
6. This Court has heard both the counsel of petitioner and respondent and has gone through the case file.
7. Considering the innocuous and *bona fide* prayer made in the instant petition, this Court deems it appropriate to issue directions upon the learned revisional court concerned, to decide the revision petition bearing No.CRR No.96 of 2021, within three months from today.
8. Disposed of accordingly.

January 08, 2024
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No