

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106+288

**CRM M-54143 of 2023
Date of Decision: 30.04.2024**

Kamaljeet Singh	Versus	...Petitioner
State of U.T., Chandigarh		... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. Gursimran Singh Madaan, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Charanjit Singh Bakshi, APP for U.T., Chandigarh.
Ms. S.S. Maini, Advocate, for the complainant.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

CRM-49541 of 2023

1. The applicant/petitioner has filed the present application for impleading the complainants as respondents No. 2 to 17 in the main petition.
2. For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed.
3. Complainants mentioned in paragraph No. 3 of the application are ordered to be impleaded as respondents No. 2 to 17.
4. Amended memo of parties is taken on record.

CRM M-43576 of 2023

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 439 Cr.PC with a prayer to grant regular bail in case FIR No. 86 dated 23.05.2022, registered under Sections 406,420,467,468,471,120-B of

IPC (Section 419 IPC added later on) and under Section 24 of Immigration Act Police Station South Sector 34, District Chandigarh.

2. Vide order dated 03.11.2023, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the present petitioner and the following observations were made:-

“.....Learned counsel for the petitioner has referred to the order dated 13.10.2023 (Annexure P-4) passed by this Court in CRM M-43576-2023 titled as “Mandeep Kumar Vs. State of U.T. Chandigarh”, whereby, the concession of interim bail has been granted to similarly placed co-accused by this Court. He further contends that an amount of Rs. 31.80 lacs has already been deposited by his co-accused with the trial Court and has already been ordered to be released on interim bail.

At this stage, learned State counsel also admits that an amount of Rs. 31.80 lacs has been deposited by the co-accused Mandeep Kumar with the trial Court. Still further, the petitioner is in custody for the last about 05 months. Consequently, the petitioner is also ordered to be admitted to interim bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned subject to the following conditions:-

- 1. The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case, so as to dissuade him to disclose such facts to the Court or to any other authority. The petitioner shall remain present before the Court on the dates fixed for hearing of the case.*

2. *The petitioner shall not absent himself from the Court proceedings except on the prior permission of the Court concerned.*
3. *The petitioner shall surrender his passport, if any, (if already not surrendered), and in case he is not holder of the same, he shall swear an affidavit to that effect.*
4. *The petitioner shall also file his affidavit before the concerned Court, mentioning his ordinary place of residence and number of mobile phone, which shall be used by him during the pendency of the trial. In case of change of place of residence/mobile number, he shall share the details with the concerned Court/learned Trial Court.*
5. *In case, the petitioner gets involved in any other criminal activity, during the pendency of the trial, it shall be viewed seriously.*
6. *The concerned Court may insist on two heavy local sureties and may also impose any other condition, in accordance with law, while accepting the bails bonds and surety bonds of the petitioner.*
7. *The petitioner shall report every 1st Monday of English calender month before the concerned SHO till the conclusion of the trial and SHO shall mark his presence by making an entry in the Rojnamcha*
In case, the petitioner violates any of the conditions mentioned above, it shall be viewed seriously and the concession of bail granted to him shall be liable to be cancelled and the prosecution shall be at liberty to move an application in this regard.”

3. After granting the interim bail, the parties were relegated to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court to explore the

possibility of the compromise. Now, the parties have signed a settlement deed/agreement dated 10.04.2024 before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court. Even during the course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall remain bound by the terms of the aforesaid compromise.

4. Even, the learned State counsel submits that the petitioner had joined the investigation in the present case and has earlier remained in custody also. Even, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant submits that he has no objection in case the present petition is allowed by this Court as the petitioner had complied with the interim order dated 03.11.2023 passed by this Court.

5. In view of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties, interim order dated 03.11.2023 passed by this Court is made absolute.

6. The petition stands allowed in the above terms.

7. All pending applications, if any, are disposed of, accordingly.

30.04.2024
amit rana

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No