



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

INCOMP-4558-2024(O&M)
Date of decision: 17.12.2024

Sunita ... Petitioner
Versus
Union Territory, Chandigarh and others ... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: None.
...

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petition at hand was filed on October 18, 2023 by Mr. Hoshier Singh, Advocate. On the same day, certain objections were raised by the Registry. However, learned counsel neither appeared before the Registry nor collected the file to remove the objections.

As per Rule 9, Chapter 1, Part-A, Volume V, Punjab and Haryana High Court Rules and Orders, the petition on which objections have been raised by the Registry is to be taken back by the counsel/party, who filed it, to be re-filed within a total period of 40 days.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, it appears that the petitioners are not interested in pursuing the petition. No further order is required to be passed. The file be consigned to the record.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

17.12.2024
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES/NO
Whether Reportable:	YES/NO