



CRM-M-50833-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(213)

CRM-M-50833-2024
Date of Decision : 03.12.2024

Oman Mehta ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Inder Pal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. Coordinate Bench of this Court, on dated 10.10.2024, had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.81 dated 19.09.2024. registered under Sections 333/304/118(1), 118(2), 115(2), 191 (3), 190 of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Mamdot, District Ferozepur, petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that the only allegation even in the FIR against the petitioner is that he pushed the complainant whereas as per the CCTV footage he is found to be not present on the spot.

Notice of motion for 27.11.2024.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sartaj Singh Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the



arresting officer/Investigating Officer. As and when called, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the conditions enumerated under Section 482 (2) BNSS.”

2. In deference to the directions issued by coordinate Bench of this Court, by drawing an order dated 10.10.2024, status report dated 02.12.2024, by way of affidavit of Mr. Karan Sharma, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police (Rural), District Ferozepur, on behalf of the respondent-State of Punjab, furnished by the learned State counsel today in the Court. The same is taken on the record.

3. Today, the learned State counsel on instructions imparted to him by ASI Balraj, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 10.10.2024, is hereby made absolute, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned ibid and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

December 03, 2024
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No