



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-50828-2024

Date of Decision : 20.11.2024

RAMESH LAL AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Pushp Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. On 10.10.2024, a co-ordinate bench of this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioners inter alia contends that no injury has attributed to the petitioners and that the matter has already been compromised between the parties. The compromise deed dated 28.03.2024 is attached as Annexure P-2. He further submits that the petitioners have no other criminal antecedents and they are ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called for and to extend all cooperation to the Investigating Agency.

Notice of motion for 20.11.2024.

In the meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Agency. In the event of the petitioners joining investigation, they shall be admitted to interim bail by the arresting officer/investigating officer on his furnishing of bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/investigating officer. The petitioners shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) of 2023.”

2. Learned State counsel, on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioners have joined investigation, and they are no longer required for custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 10.10.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

- “(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;
- (ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;
- (iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

6. All pending application(s), if any, also stand **disposed** of accordingly.

November 20, 2024
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No