

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(112)

CWP-24445-2023

Decided on : 15.04.2024

Sanjay Mishra & others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Municipal Commissioner & others

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA,
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr.Sagar Dangi, Advocate, for
Mr.J.P.Jangu, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

Mr.Sanjiv Ghai, Advocate
and Mr.Parminder S.Kaul, Advocate, for respondent Nos.1 & 2.

Mr.Mayank Sharma, Advocate, for
Mr.Aman Bahri, Advocate, for respondent Nos.3 & 4.

G.S. Sandhawalia, Acting Chief Justice (Oral)

1. Prayer in the present writ petition, filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of directions to the respondents to prepare a crystal clear and effective enforcement/implementation module based on transparency and accountability regarding the enforcement staff which are available with the respondents herein.

2. The said claim is based on a alleged allotment or ownership of booth in Sukhna Lake details of which are missing in the writ petition. In such circumstances, we are of the considered opinion that on account of absence of any specific material having been placed on record which would show that there is any legal vested right with the petitioners or any policy on the basis of which a writ of mandamus can be issued, we are not inclined to issue any direction.

2. Resultantly, in view of the above discussion, the present writ petition is hereby dismissed.

**(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE**

15.04.2024

Sailesh

**(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No