



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

111

CRR-2492-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision: 07.08.2024

Surender SinghPetitioner
Versus

State of HaryanaRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Naveen Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Imran Farooqi, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. A perusal of all the previous orders of this Court clearly indicates that, on not a single date of hearing, has the learned counsel for the petitioner made his submissions. Instead, repeated requests for adjournments have been made, citing the learned counsel's personal difficulties. Today, once again, when the case was called, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner, nor was any adjournment slip filed by the arguing counsel, however, still the case was passed over. Upon second call, one Mr. Naveen Kumar, Advocate again appeared, as had been done on the previous dates of hearing, and reiterated the same request that had been made on all previous dates of hearing. Moreover, Mr. Naveen Kumar, Advocate does not even hold a power of attorney from the petitioner. It is apparent that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing this revision petition, and this lack of interest is the reason behind the repeated requests for adjournments.

2. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

3. Since main case is dismissed for non-prosecution, applications seeking condition of delay and suspension of sentence also stand dismissed.

07.08.2024

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No