



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-50826-2024

Date of Decision : 20.11.2024

HARSIMRAN SINGH

.....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Pushp Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. On 10.10.2024, a co-ordinate bench of this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.243 dated 15.09.2024, registered under Sections 406/420 IPC and Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professional (Regulations) Act, 2014 at Police Station City Kapurthala, District Kapurthala, petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that the petitioner was merely a mediator, though an amount of Rs.10.00 lakhs has travelled to his account. However, the unutilized amount of Rs.3,50,000/- was returned to Kultar Singh which is reflected from the account statement which is placed on record. It is sufficient to show his bonafide and proves that mens rea was not there.

Notice of motion for 20.11.2024.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sartaj Singh Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/Investigating Officer. As and when called, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the conditions enumerated under Section 482 BNSS, 2023.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel has filed status report, dated 19.11.2024, by way of an affidavit of Sh.Deepkaran Singh, DSP, Sub Division Kapurthala, which is taken on record, with a copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. He further, on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) has joined investigation, and he is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 10.10.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

- “(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;
- (ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;
- (iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

7. All pending application(s), if any, also stand **disposed** of accordingly.

November 20, 2024
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No