



CRM-M-50701-2024

108 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.50701 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 15.10.2024

Ranbir Saini ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Ms. Pooja Jaglan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
235	21.08.2020	Sector-9A, District Gurugram	148, 149, 307, 302 IPC, 1860 (120-B, 216 IPC, 1860 added later on) and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959.

Seeking direction to the trial Court for expeditious conclusion of trial pending in the FIR captioned above, the accused-petitioner has come up before this Court under Section 528 BNSS.

2. State counsel opposes the present petition on the ground that this Court should not interfere with the docket of the trial court and should refrain from issuing directions to trial Court to decide a particular case in a given time frame. He further submits that the State would not seek unnecessary adjournment(s) before the trial Court concerned.
3. Given such statement, the present petition is disposed with a request to the trial Court concerned to consider the request of the petitioner to finalize trial early and the State also shall not seek unnecessary adjournment(s). Trial court is requested to grant short adjournments in the matter. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

15.10.2024

Sonia Puri
Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No.