



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

CRWP-9821-2024

Date of decision: 10.10.2024

PINKI AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Responden

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Ms. Neetu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Suneel Ranga, DAG, Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The petitioners namely Pinki and Rahul Chaurasiya have approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for seeking protection of their lives and personal liberty from private respondents No.4 to 6.

The contention of the petitioners is that both are major, aged 18 years and 28 years respectively. In support thereof, copy of the Aadhaar Cards pertaining to the petitioners have been appended. The petitioners further contend that they have performed marriage against the wishes of their parents. The marriage of the petitioners was solemnized on 03.10.2024 at Pracheen Gugga Maadi Ramkrishna Mandir, Sector-19-D, Chandigarh as per Hindu rites and ceremonies. A copy of marriage certificate (Annexure P-4) issued by the aforesaid Mandir has also been appended. The petitioners apprehend threat to

**CRWP-9821-2024****-2-**

their lives and claim that there is a constant danger of them being implicated in a false case.

Notice of motion restricted to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Pursuant to supply of advance copy, Mr. Suneel Ranga, DAG, Haryana appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that a representation dated 03.10.2024 (Annexure P-3) has also been submitted by the petitioners to the respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Panchkula (wrongly mentioned as Superintendent of Police, Panchkula).

Learned State counsel has no objection if appropriate direction for providing requisite protection to the petitioners is given.

Without examining the question of legality and validity of the marriage and expressing any opinion thereon, the petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Panchkula to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation (Annexure P-3) and take appropriate action for protection of their lives and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

However, it is clarified that in case any criminal case has been/is registered against the petitioners, nothing in this order shall be construed as a bar for taking appropriate action by the police authorities



CRWP-9821-2024

-3-

in respect thereof in accordance with law.

The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition and above-said representation to respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Panchkula for requisite compliance.

The petition is disposed of.

OCTOBER 10, 2024
Vishal sharma

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No