

CRM-M-50775-2024 -1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-50775-2024
Date of decision: 07.11.2024

PRASOON TIWARIPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHERRespondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Kapil Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ajay Beniwal, Advocate for
Ms. Renu Bala, Advocate
for respondent No.2.
.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of the BNSS, 2023, petitioner seeks quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 07.09.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
0015	26.03.2024	420 IPC and (201, 204, 419, 467, 468, 471 IPC and 66c and 66d of the Information Technology (Amendment) Act 2008 added later on)	Cyber Crime Haryana, Panchkula



CRM-M-50775-2024


-2-

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise dated 07.09.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Chief Judicial Magistrate Panchkula, vide report dated 04.11.2024, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. In compliance to the order dated 15.10.2024, an amount of Rs.10,000/- has been deposited with the Poor Patients Welfare Fund, PGIMER, Chandigarh and receipt thereof is annexed with the report.

3. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the report of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate Panchkula, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **"Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **"Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 0015 dated 26.03.2024, under Sections 420 IPC and (201, 204, 419, 467, 468, 471 IPC and 66c and 66d of the Information Technology



(Amendment) Act 2008 added later on), registered at Police Station Cyber Crime Haryana, Panchkula (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioner.

07.11.2024
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |