



CWP-29273-2024

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

127

CWP-29273-2024

Date of Decision :28.10.2024

Bal Kishan and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Abhishek Joon, Advocate for
Mr. Viranjeet Singh Mahal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

In the present petition, grievance being raised by the petitioners is that the benefit of regularization of service in accordance with the daily wage service rendered by the petitioners has not been given to them and even the petitioners are not being paid the minimum of the pay scale as required to be paid by the respondents to them.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the question of law as raised in the present petition has already been decided by the Coordinate Bench of this Court in **CWP-19238-2013 titled as, Amrish Sharma and others vs. State of Punjab and others** hence, the respondents are under an obligation to grant the petitioners the said benefit as admissible under the order passed in **Amrish Sharma (supra)**.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 18.09.2024

**CWP-29273-2024****-2-**

(Annexure P-6) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Arun Gupta, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that in case, the representation dated 18.09.2024 (Annexure P-6) has been received in the office of the respondents and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons for not accepting the claim of the petitioners will be mentioned in the speaking order so passed, which order will be conveyed to the petitioners for their information and necessary action.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

October 28, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No