

216

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 51685 of 2024

Date of Decision: 24.10.2024

Rajender

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:

Mr. P.S. Jammu, Advocate
Ms. Santosh Kumar Yadav, Advocate
Mr. Jasbir Singh Thind, Advocate and
Mr. Ved Parkash Mehla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana (through V.C.).

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
493	28.10.2020	Gohana, Sonapat	302, 201, 34 IPC and 25 of Arms Act

Petitioner whose bail has been rejected on two occasions has again come up before this Court for third time without any change in circumstance under Section 483 BNSS, 2023.

2. Vide order dated 22.04.2022 passed in CRM-M No.34325 of 2021, this Court had dismissed the bail petition by mentioning reasoning in para no.5 of the petition which reads as follows:-

“After brutally killing both persons, the accused dumped the dead bodies in the drain. The role of petitioner can only be ascertained after all the witnesses are examined and not before that. An analysis of the allegations and evidence collected does not warrant the grant of bail to the petitioner.”

3. There is no change in circumstance.

4. Given above, the present petition is dismissed. All pending applications, if any also stands disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)

JUDGE

24.10.2024

Jyoti Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes

Whether reportable:

No.