

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

266

CRWP-10320-2023

Date of decision:- 14.02.2024.

Dharamvir Singh and anr.

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Ors.

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present :- Mr. Raghav Goyal Chandiwala, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG Punjab
along with ASI Baljinder Singh.

Mr. Ishan Gupta, Advocate and
Mr. Harita Panthey, Advocate for
respondent Nos.6 to 8.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. Status report by way of affidavit of Praveen Kumar Sinha, IPS, ADGP, NRI Wing, Punjab filed. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to the counsel opposite.

2. The operative part reads as under:-

“That the office of AIG NRI Wing Patiala left no stones unturned to conclude the inquiry promptly after evaluating all the oral and documentary evidence. However the statement of son of petitioner namely Harjeet is still awaited inspite of sending several notices/reminders. It is further submitted that the petitioner Dharamvir Singh was served notices to conclude the inquiry and giving logical end to the application submitted by Ravneet Kaur (respondent No.5) and no harassment was ever caused to

the petitioners.”

3. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Baljinder Singh submits that none of the petitioners is required in the present petition and inquiry has been kept pending only for the purpose of recording statement of the son of the petitioners and in case son of the petitioners to send his statement in writing by way of e-mail, the same shall be entertained. The aforesaid statement made by the state counsel satisfies the counsel for the petitioner.
4. No further order is warranted. Consequently, the petition stands disposed off.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

14.02.2024
spn

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	: Yes/No
Whether Reportable	: Yes/No