



*today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq
Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise
and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq
Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of
compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are
pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioners undertakes to secure
presence of respondent No.2 at the time of recording the
statements, in terms of the compromise/settlement (Annexure P-3).*

*A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq
Magistrate through fax for compliance.”*

3. Thereafter vide order dated 14.11.2024, petitioner Nos.2 to 4 were permitted to record their statements through video conferencing. In compliance of the aforesaid orders, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in ***Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834*** and ***Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63*** and Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052***, this petition is allowed and FIR No.31 dated 13.04.2024 under Sections 406, 498-A, 323, 506, 509, 34 of IPC, registered at Police Station Women Cell, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

November 19, 2024
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |