

271

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-54187 of 2023

Date of Decision:- 31.01.2024

Dayanand

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr.Gursimar Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr.Abhinash Jain, DAG, Haryana.
None for respondent No.2.

Kuldeep Tiwari, J. (Oral)

On 30.10.2023, the parties were directed to appear before the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate, for recording their statements on dated 15.11.2023 enabling the latter to validate the genuineness of the claim of compromise, upon which, the present petitioner claimed for quashing of the FIR. Neither the petitioner nor the respondent has caused appearance before the learned trial court concerned. Today, learned counsel for the petitioner is present in the Court, however, learned counsel for the respondent has not caused an appearance to verify the factum of compromise.

In view of the above, this Court is of the opinion that there is no compromise effected between the parties, and the instant petition is ordered to be dismissed with liberty to revive in case the compromise is effected between the parties in future.

Dismissed with the aforesaid liberty.

31.01.2024
sd

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.
Whether reportable: Yes/No.