



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(151)

CWP No. 26353 of 2024
Date of Decision : 14.10.2024

Jagdish Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sukhdev Raj Kamboj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaganeshwar Walia, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is qua his transfer.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, who is a disabled person, could have been adjusted at a nearby station rather than transfer him at a far of place.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already filed a representation dated 02.09.2024 (Annexure P-10) and the respondents be directed to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.
4. Notice of motion.
5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Gaganeshwar Walia, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated



02.09.2024 (Annexure P-10) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of four weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, it is found feasible, the request of the petitioner will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be given while passing the order on the representation for not accepting the request of the petitioner and the same will be conveyed to the petitioner.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

October 14, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No