

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

289

CRM-M-53307-2023

Date of decision: 22.07.2024

**TARSEM LAL AND OTHERS****.... PETITIONER(S)****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****...RESPONDENT(S)****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**

Present: Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate  
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Aazam Khan, Advocate  
for respondent No.2 and 3.

\*\*\*\*\*


**JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)**

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.379 dated 24.12.2020 registered under Sections 326, 323, 324, 325, 427, 148 and 149 of the IPC, 1860 at Police Station Civil Lines Batala, District Batala (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the petitioner and respondent No.2.

Vide order dated 12.03.2024 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 09.03.2023 (Annexure P-2).

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 12.03.2024 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Batala



and as per the report dated 26.04.2024 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complaint coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***“Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543”***.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Batala accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.379 dated 24.12.2020 registered under Sections 326, 323, 324, 325, 427, 148 and 149 of the IPC, 1860 at Police Station Civil Lines Batala, District Batala (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioner(s).

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)  
JUDGE

22.07.2024  
Kusum

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes/No |