

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

285

CRM-M-53885-2023
Date of decision: 23.04.2024

PANKAJ KUMAR AND OTHERS
V/s
....PETITIONERS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Amit Kumar Jain, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Ankita Ahuja, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ranjit Singh, Advocate for
Ms. Preeti Jain, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 & 3.

SUMEET GOEL, J.

1. By way of present petition, the petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR No.65 dated 24.08.2020 under Sections 323, 34, 498-A, 506 of IPC, registered at Women Police Station Jind, Haryana and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 11.10.2023 (Annexure P-2), which is stated to have been effected between the parties.
2. On 20.03.2024, the following order was passed:

*“The petitioners have approached this Court seeking quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of a compromise having been effected between the parties.
Notice of motion.*

At this stage, Ms. Mahima Yashpal, DAG, Haryana, has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.1-State and accepts notice.

Mr. Ranjit Singh, Advocate for Ms. Preeti Jain, Advocate has appeared and filed vakalatnama on behalf of respondents No. 2 and 3. The same be taken on record.

The parties are directed to get their statements recorded qua the factum of compromise in the following manner:

(i) The petitioners shall appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate concerned on 01.04.2024 or any date thereafter as fixed by trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording statements of the petitioners as well as of the complainant qua the factum of compromise. As and when any such appearance is made, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate shall do the needful for recording the statements of the parties qua the factum of the compromise. It shall be open to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to either

record the statements of the parties by physical process or by video conferencing as deemed appropriate by the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate.

(ii) In case the statement is to be recorded by way of video conferencing, the parties concerned shall be duly identified through video conferencing by their respective counsel, subject to the satisfaction of the Presiding Officer.

(iii) The trial Court/Illaq Magistrate may also choose to get the statements of the parties recorded through some Commissioner, appointed by the Court who would be some Advocate having sufficient standing at the Bar. In case the statement is recorded through some Commissioner, such Commissioner/Advocate shall furnish an affidavit after recording statements to the effect that the parties had appeared before him/her and he/she had recorded their statements as per law and that the said parties had been duly identified by their respective counsel. This shall be subject to satisfaction of trial Court/Illaq Magistrate.

After recording the statements of all the affected parties in either of the aforesaid manner, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate shall submit its report on the basis of the statements so recorded as to whether all the affected parties have entered into a compromise and as to whether the compromise in question is found to be a valid compromise and has been effected without there being any kind of influence or coercion. The trial Court/Illaq Magistrate shall also report as regards the following facts after seeking information from Investigating Officer, concerned:

(i) Whether there is any other accused other than the petitioners, arrayed in this petition?

(ii) Whether there is any other complainant or affected/ aggrieved party other than the respondents, arrayed in the petition?

(iii) Whether any accused has been declared Proclaimed Offender?

The report be submitted before this Court before the next date of hearing i.e. 23.04.2024."

3. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, report dated 04.04.2024 from Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jind has been received, which is taken on record. As per the report, the Trial Court has recorded as follows:-

"In reference to the subject cited above, it is respectfully submitted that in compliance of order dated 20.03.2024 Hon'ble High Court in above noted petition the parties have appeared before undersigned on 01.04.2024 and their statements have been recorded.

The complainants Seema Kaushik and Ramesh Kaushik have stated that they have compromised the matter with accused Pankaj, Krishan Kumar, Rajbala and Suresh. They have stated that they are giving the statement without any fear, pressure or greed. There is no other complainant/aggrieved party except them in this case. They have prayed for quashing of present FIR as per compromise. The accused Pankaj, Krishan Kumar, Rajbala and Suresh have also appeared and have stated that they have compromised the matter with complainant Seema Kaushik and Ramesh Kaushik. Except them there is no other accused in the present case. They have stated that they are giving the statement without any fear, pressure or greed. They have prayed for quashing the FIR The notice was issued to IO. HC Kavita has appeared on 03.04.2024 and has made the statement that she was IO in the present case. Present FIR was registered against seven accused persons out of which challan was presented against accused namely Pankaj Kumar, Krishan, Rajbala and Suresh Kumar. Remaining three accused were found innocent. There are two

complainants/aggrieved/affected persons namely Seema Kaushik and Ramesh Kaushik in this case. No accused is P.O. in present case. I have also questioned the parties about the voluntariness of their statement. From the statement of the parties including complainant and accused, I am satisfied that the compromise entered between the parties is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence. The compromise is not result of any fraud or misrepresentation and it is the result of free will of the parties. As per HC Kavita, no accused is P.O. in present case. There is two complainants/aggrieved/affected persons namely Seema Kaushik and Ramesh Kaushik. The statements of the complainant, accused and the HC Kavita have been recorded which are attached along-with report as desired.”

4. Learned counsel for respondent Nos.2 & 3 admits the fact of parties having compromised and states that he has no objection in case the FIR and all proceedings subsequent thereto against the petitioners are quashed.

5. Similarly, learned State counsel has stated no objection in case the FIR is quashed based upon the compromise (Annexure P-2).

6. I have heard learned Counsel for the parties and have carefully gone through the records of the case.

7. This Court and the Hon'ble Apex Court has repeatedly dealt with the issue of exercise of jurisdiction under Section 482 of the Code to quash proceeding in non-compoundable offences in the cases of ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012(10) SCC 303, Kulwinder Singh & others vs. State of Punjab & another, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Ram Gopal and another vs. State of Madhya Pradesh, 2021(4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 322 (Criminal Appeal No.1489 of 2012 decided on 29th of September, 2021).*** The proposition of law that emerges from the aforesaid decisions rendered by the Hon'ble Apex Court and this Court is :

- (a) *Power u/s 482 Cr.P.C. vested with this Court is much wider and is unaffected by Section 320 of the Code.*
- (b) *However, wider the power greater the caution.*

- (c) *The underlining principle while exercising such power is that it can be invoked to quash the proceedings recognizing compromise between the parties in the matters which are overwhelmingly and predominantly of civil character like commercial transactions or arising out of matrimonial relationship or family disputes.*
- (d) *The said power is not to be exercised in the prosecutions involving heinous and serious offences of mental depravity or offences like murder, rape, dacoity etc. as such offences are not private in nature and have a serious impact on society.*
- (e) *Section 482 Cr.P.C. casts duty upon the High Court to advance interest of justice as well. It is in recognition of this duty casted upon the High Court, that Apex Court held that the High Court would not refuse to quash FIR under Section 307 merely because FIR finds mention thereof. High Court can assess nature of injuries sustained, whether such injuries inflicted on vital/delicate parts of the body/nature of weapons used etc.*
- (f) *Such exercise at the hands of High Court would be permissible only after the evidence is collected after investigation and chargesheet is filed/charges framed during the trial. Such exercise cannot be carried out while the matter is still under investigation.*
- (g) *While quashing FIR in non-compoundable offences even which are of private in nature, High Court is required to consider antecedents of the accused, conduct of the accused and whether he was absconding or whether he has managed the complainant to enter into a compromise.*

8. Thus, keeping in view the aforesaid facts and circumstances, this Court is of the considered opinion that it is a fit case to exercise jurisdiction vested u/s 482 Cr.P.C. to quash the FIR as :-

- (i) *Putting a quietus to the proceedings will bring peace and tranquility amongst parties & will accordingly further the cause of substantial justice.*
- (ii) *The offences alleged are primarily of private nature.*
- (iii) *The parties have compromised.*
- (iv) *As per the report received the compromise is said to be voluntary in its nature.*
- (v) *Complainant/victim is reported to have entered into compromise on his own volition.*

9. Consequently, the petition is allowed. FIR No.65 dated 24.08.2020 under Sections 323, 34, 498-A, 506 of IPC, registered at Women Police Station Jind, Haryana and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 11.10.2023 (Annexure P-2), are, hereby, quashed qua the petitioners.

10. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

April 23, 2024
jatin

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No