

[204] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-53228-2023
Date of Decision : 16.02.2024

Krishan Kumar ...Petitioner
versus
State of Punjab and anotherRespondents

Coram : **HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**

Present : Mr. Ashish Pal Kaushal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajeev K. Thakkar, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate for the complainant.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

- [1] Separate reply on behalf of the complainant has not been filed.
[2] On 06.11.2023, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“ Present: Mr. Ashish Pal Kaushal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.151 dated 01.09.2023 registered under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 120-B of the IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station Dugri, Ludhiana, District Ludhiana.

Allegations are that complainant Pardeep Kumar Sharma was working in the company of M/s The Work House from January, 2004 to August, 2014. He left the company in 2014 and started doing job somewhere else. His EPF was lying deposited in the company M/s The Work House. It is alleged that petitioner along with Rakesh Kumar and Pardeep Kumar Sharma hatched conspiracy, forged and fabricated the forms to claim EPF account of the complainant.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner has no concern with the amount in question; that he is not the beneficiary; that there is simple allegation of the petitioner having connived with the co-accused; that it is co-accused who impersonated Pardeep Kumar Sharma- complainant and received the money.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Randeep Singh Khaira, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1- State.

Adjourned to 08.01.2024 for filing status report.

In the meantime, in case of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. However, it is directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. He shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade him from the investigation in any manner whatsoever and nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. He shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

[3] Today, learned State Counsel, on instructions from SI Jaswinder, submits that petitioner has already joined the investigation and co-operating in the same, in compliance of the order dated 06.11.2023 and is no longer required for further investigation.

[4] In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 06.11.2023, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

[5] Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

16.02.2024
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No