



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

108

LPA-2762-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.11.2024

SANT KUMAR

...Appellant

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Surinder Kumar Daaria, Advocate
for the appellant.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J.(ORAL)

1. The present intra court appeal is directed against the judgment dated 16.05.2024 passed by a learned Single Judge of this Court rejecting the appellant's claim for regularization of his services as a Mason in terms of the regularization policy of the State dated 01.10.2003.
2. After hearing learned counsel for the appellant and going through the impugned judgment, we find that the appellant's claim for regularization of his services in terms of regularization policy of the State dated 01.10.2003 has been rejected by the learned Single Judge on the ground that one of the primary conditions for regularization of services as contained in the policy of the State dated 01.10.2003 was that the employee should have served for 240 days in each of the three years preceding the date of the regularization policy which condition the appellant did not fulfill.



- 3. Even before us no record on behalf of the appellant has been produced or shown to the effect that the appellant had put in service for 240 days in each of the three years preceding the date of the regularization policy dated 01.10.2003.
- 4. In the light of the above, we find no error, in fact or in law, in the impugned judgment.
- 5. Dismissed.
- 6. All pending miscellaneous application(s) also stand disposed of.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

November 07, 2024

Nisha Yadav

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No