

2024-12-13 17:01

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

209

CRM-M-50101-2024

Date of Decision : **December 13, 2024**

SATNAM SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Karan Monga, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Prabhjot Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. On 6.11.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 482 of the B.N.S.S., 2023, the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.31 dated 30.07.2024, under Sections 331(6), 115(2), 324(4), 191(3), 190 of the B.N.S., 2023, registered at P.S. Amir Khas, District Fazilka.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that, although the petitioner is alleged to have given two blows with the reverse side of “gandasi” on the right bicep and right elbow of the complainant, however, the nature of injury(ies) is yet to be ascertained. He further submits that,

since petitioner is on a co-equal pedestal as his co-accused Satnam Singh, who has already been granted interim bail by this Court, vide order dated 04.10.2024, drawn upon CRM-M-50101-2024, therefore, he deserves an alike relief.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab.

5. In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., 2023.

6. List on 02.12.2024, along with CRM-M-50101-2024.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 6.11.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

December 13, 2024
ajay-1

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No