



237 CRM-A-5-2020

Shiv Raj Singh Vs. State of Haryana and others

Present: Mr. Satyam Khichi, Advocate, for
Mr. P.K. Ganga, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Sehrawat, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Gurdarshan Singh Sidhu, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 4.

CRM-A-5-2020

Applicant's counsel submits that the present application was filed against the judgment of acquittal by the Appellate Court in the year 2019 and he has a very good case on merit.

Counsel appearing for the respondents No.2 to 4 submits that the judgment of acquittal is well reasoned and leave to appeal should not be granted. He further submits that in case, this Court grants leave to appeal and admit the main appeal, its turn will not come for hearing for decades, as such pendency of the appeal may be treated in-consequential for the respondents.

Leave to appeal granted.

Counsel for the respondents No.2 to 4 submits that he be exempted from furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds before the concerned trial Court or Chief Judicial Magistrate. He undertakes to surrender before the majesty of Court as and when this Court directed to do so or in case of reversal of the judgment.

Given such undertaking, there shall be no need to furnish bail bonds/surety bonds by the respondents.

Main appeal

Admit.

List for final hearing on its own turn as per its queue following the roster.

It is clarified that the pendency of this appeal shall not be construed against the accused-respondents in any manner whatsoever.

28.08.2024

D.Bansal

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE