

**CWP-28414-2024****1**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(135)**CWP-28414-2024****Date of Decision : October 21, 2024****Surjit Kaur****.. Petitioner**

Versus

Union of India and others**.. Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Gurjeet Singh Kaura, Advocate, with
Mr. Rangat Joshi, Advocate,
Ms. Kamaldeep Kaur, Advocate,
Mr. Amanpreet Singh Dhiman, Advocate,
Ms. Shubh Karman Kaur Kaura, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Pardeep Rajput, Sr. Panel Counsel,
for respondent No.1-UOI.

Ms. Divya Godara, Advocate, for respondents No. 2 and 3.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that keeping in view the Policy issued by the Government of India dated 17.01.2013 (Annexure P-8), the petitioner is entitled for dual pension hence, the respondent-University is also liable to grant the petitioner the pension for the period, the husband of the petitioner had worked as a Security Guard.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 09.09.2024 (Annexure P-9) which is still pending

**CWP-28414-2024****2**

consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said legal notice by passing an appropriate speaking order.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Pardeep Rajput, Advocate, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and Ms. Divya Godara, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the legal notice dated 09.09.2024 (Annexure P-9) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision any relief is to be extended to the petitioner, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for her information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

October 21, 2024*harsha***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No