



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-50206-2024
Date of decision: 09.12.2024

ANKIT TYAGI AND ORS

....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Naveen Bawa, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramender Singh Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vishnu Dutt, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 21.09.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR (Annexure P-1) are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
201	10.08.2024	115, 140 (3), 3 (5), 351 (2) of BNS, 2023 and Section 3 of the SC and ST Act, 1989 (Amendment 2015)	HSIDC Barhi, District Sonepat.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 21.09.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ganaur, vide report dated



CRM-M-50206-2024

2

06.11.2024 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. The petitioners have also deposited cost of Rs.20,000/- in compliance of order dated 23.10.2024.

3. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ganaur, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 201 dated 10.08.2024 under Sections 115, 140 (3), 3 (5), 351 (2) of BNS, 2023 and Section 3 of the SC and ST Act, 1989 (Amendment 2015), registered at Police Station HSIDC Barhi, District Sonapat, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

09.12.2024
Kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |