

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

111 CWP-38134-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.04.2024

GhanshyamPetitioner
Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana and
Mr. Saurabh Mago, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur Manchanda, Advocate and
Mr. Shivam Garg, Advocate
for respondent – HSIIDC.

Sureshwar Thakur, J. (Oral)

1. Since the learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, submits that the compensation as was assessed in respect of the subject land, was so assessed in terms of the Land Acquisition Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013, thereby, the learned counsel for the petitioner prays and, is, permitted to withdraw the instant writ petition.
2. Disposed of accordingly.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

29.04.2024
Varinder Prashad

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No