

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

260

CWP-27909-2022
Decided on : 16.01.2024

Rohtash and others

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Arun Chander Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Present Civil Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned orders dated 04.07.2022 (Annexure P-7) whereby the services of the petitioners who were working as Home Guard have been terminated.

2. Learned State counsel has submitted that apart from other objections, one of the objection which has been taken in the written statement is that the impugned orders are appealable before the Commandant General, Home Guard and Director, Civil Defence, Haryana, Chandigarh as per Rule 25(4) of the Haryana Home Guard Rules, 1980 and the said appeal has to be filed within a period of 30 days

whereas, in the present writ petition, it has been averred in paragraph 12 that there is no other remedy of appeal or revision.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of the stand taken by learned State counsel, the petitioner be permitted to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to seek alternate remedy in accordance with law.

3. In view of the above, present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

16.01.2024
Mehak

<i>Whether reasoned/speaking?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>