

125

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 50574 of 2024

Date of Decision: 14.10.2024

Sanjay Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:

Mr. G.C. Shahpuri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
166	25.06.2019	Sarai Khwaja, District Faridabad	406, 420, 506, 120-B IPC

1. Seeking quashing of the FIR captioned above, the petitioner has come up before this Court under Section 482 CrPC.
2. After arguing for some time, counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw the present petition with liberty that he be permitted to file a discharge application before the trial Court and the said Court be directed to answer each and every point so raised by him in the said application.
3. Given above, petitioner is permitted to file a precise application for discharge, but such application should not be unnecessarily lengthy and if such application is filed in that case, the concerned Court shall decide the same by passing a reasoned and speaking order and shall answer to each and every point taken in the said application. This order is subject to the condition that the petitioner shall not seek any adjournment. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)

JUDGE

14.10.2024

Jyoti Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether reportable: No.