



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CWP-32521-2019(O&M)  
Date of decision: 14.10.2024

Santosh Kumari  
... Petitioner  
Versus  
State of Punjab and others  
... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI  
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. G.P.S. Pathania, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.  
Mr. Jastej Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.  
\*\*\*

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

CM-14658-CWP-2022

CM is allowed. The documents (Annexures A-1 to A-3) are taken  
on record.

CWP-32521-2019(O&M)

Learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, at the outset, submits  
that during the pendency of this petition, the respondent-authorities, vide order  
dated October 25, 2021 (A-3), has since cancelled the allotment of plot No.43,  
Industrial Estate, Gurdaspur, which was allotted to the petitioner. And, the  
Earnest Money Deposits (EMD) has also been confiscated. He submits, for,  
neither the order of cancellation is impugned by the petitioner nor any formal  
application was moved seeking amendment in the petition to assail the said  
order, the relief prayed for is apparently misconceived. Thus, he submits that,

in the given circumstances, the only option which the petitioner has is to assail the order of cancellation (**ibid**) and pray for other reliefs.

The factual position, as narrated above, is not disputed by the learned counsel for the petitioner. Accordingly, he submits that he be permitted to withdraw the petition to enable the petitioner to file a fresh petition challenging the order of cancellation (**ibid**), if so advised.

As prayed, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn, with the liberty prayed for.

( Arun Palli )  
Judge

( Vikram Aggarwal )  
Judge

14.10.2024  
Rajan

|                              |        |
|------------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking / reasoned: | YES/NO |
| Whether Reportable:          | YES/NO |