



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

212

**CRM-M No. 50636-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.10.2024**

Jeaon Singh @ Jeona @ Jivan

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**Present:** Mr. Malkit S. Hundal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Neha Sonawane, DAG, Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.


Present second petition has been filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of bail pending trial to the petitioner in FIR No.12 dated 05.04.2022, registered under Sections 379B, 397, 411 read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station GRP, Amritsar.

2. Allegations are that petitioner along with co-accused snatched mobile phone; cash amounting to Rs. 11,000/- from complainant and inflicted gunshot injuries to the complainant.

3. Contends that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and has been nominated only on the basis of disclosure of co-accused.

Further contends that petitioner is in custody since 16.01.2023 and, thus,

further incarceration of the petitioner would not serve any purpose.



4. *Per contra*, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer of the petitioner while submitting that alleged mobile phone was duly recovered from the petitioner during investigation and the complainant has identified the same. Further submits that petitioner is facing numerous cases and in case petitioner is granted bail, there is every likelihood that he shall misuse the concession; hamper the trial and influence the witnesses.
5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.
6. It is discernible that petitioner is a habitual offender; moreover, he has no respect for the rule of law. Apart that, petitioner has criminal antecedents and details of the same are as under:-

Sr. No.	FIR No.	Dated	U/S	Name of Police Stations
1.	04	11.01.2019	25 Arms Act	Bhikhiwind
2.	02	09.01.2019	22 NDPS Act	Bhikhiwind
3.	120	27.08.2018	467, 458, 380, 427 IPC	Bhikhiwind
4.	112	20.07.2019	379, 411 IPC	Tarn Taran
5.	13	10.02.2021	379, 411 IPC	Ferozepur Cantonment
6.	133	18.10.2017	379 IPC	GRP Amritsar
7.	218	16.09.2022	379, 411 IPC	City Kapurthala
8.	03	10.01.2023	22, 61 of NDPS Act	City Patti
9.	320	28.11.2022	379 IPC	Tanda
10.	31	18.01.2023	379 IPC	Islamabad
11.	36	9.03.2021	380, 411, 201 IPC	Sadar Faridkot
12.	76	16.08.2022	420,417,379 IPC	Sekhwan
13.	145	01.06.2018	379, 411 IPC	City Tarn Taran
14.	25	16.02.2020	387, 379, 506, 411 IPC	Amritsar Cantonment
15.	412	02.09.2022	379 IPC	Islamabad
16.	152	30.09.2022	223, 224 IPC	Majitha Road, Amritsar

7. Petitioner has also been identified by the complainant during investigation, therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant him bail pending



trial, at this stage.

8. In view of the submissions made by learned State counsel, there is no option except to dismiss the petition at this stage.

9. Ordered accordingly.

10. Above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on merits of case, in any manner.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

21.10.2024
Harish Kumar

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No