

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

251

CWP-27266-2022
Date of decision : 26.02.2024

Gurdev SinghPetitioner

V/S

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Ish Puneet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Arundhati Kulshreshtha, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Nimanyu Gautam, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Vir Sharda, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

Ms. Archana Vashisht, Advocate for
Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No.4 and 5.

Mr. Deep Kanwal Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus for issuance of directions to the official respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for promotion to the post of Mandi Supervisor-cum-Fee Collector w.e.f. 28.05.2012, against a reserved category post, further to consider the petitioner for promotion to the post of Accountant, counting his experience as Mandi Supervisor-cum-Fee Collector w.e.f. 28.05.2012.

2. While recording the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner that the reply to the legal notice is totally non-speaking, notice of motion was issued on 30.11.2022.
3. Learned counsel appearing for respondents No.2 and 3 submits that he has instructions to say that respondents No.2 and 3 shall pass a speaking order on the legal notice dated 18.10.2022 (Annexure P-6) issued by the petitioner through his counsel, within a period of 04 weeks.
4. In view of the above statement made by learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.
5. Disposed of as having become infructuous.
6. It is made clear that respondents No.2 and 3 shall bound by their undertaking, as recorded above.

26.02.2024

kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No