



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.25934 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 04.12.2024

RAJ KRISHAN AND ORSPetitioners
Versus
UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Parveen Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Promila Nain, Senior Panel Counsel and
Mr. Harveen Mehta, Advocate and
Mr. Amit Thakur, Advocate for the respondents-UIO

* * * * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the parties are in agreement that the issue(s) and the question(s) of law raised through this petition have been considered and decided on 27.11.2024 by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in a bunch of petitions in which the lead case was ***CWP No.9426 of 2023 – Shila Devi and others Versus State of Punjab and others.***
2. In the light of the above, we dispose of this petition in terms of ***Shila Devi's case*** (supra) with the only modification that wherever in paragraphs 29 and 30 of the judgment in ***Shila Devi's case*** (supra) the reference is to the State of Punjab, the same be read as the Union of India.
3. All pending miscellaneous application(s) also stand disposed of.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

04.12.2024
sandeep

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No