

Date of decision: February 05, 2024

...Respondents

CRM-M-52694-2023

-2-

submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*** and ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543***.

In view of the aforesaid report of the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Sultanpur Lodhi accompanied by the joint statements of both the parties, the present DDR No.27 (Annexure P-2) dated 29.06.2022 registered under Sections 324 and 326 IPC in case FIR No.57 dated 25.06.2022 (Annexure P-1) registered at Police Station Fattu Dyinga, District Kapurthala for offences under Sections 323 and 325 IPC and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 29.09.2023 (Annexure P-3) entered into between the parties, are hereby quashed.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

February 05, 2024
satish

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No