

[207-A] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-52713-2023

Date of Decision : 24.01.2024

Anil Kumar

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

Coram : **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**

Present : Mr. Sushil Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] On 17.10.2023, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“ Present: Mr. Sushil Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.242 dated 08.05.2023, under Sections 323, 324, 34 and 506 IPC (Sections 307 and 341 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Kharkhoda, District Sonapat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that initially the FIR was registered under Sections 323, 324, 34 and 506 IPC. The petitioner was granted the concession of bail vide order dated 30.05.2023. Thereafter, Sections 307 and 341 IPC were added later on. In the meanwhile, a compromise has been effected with the complainant and the complainant had furnished an affidavit dated 06.10.2023 (Annexure P-4). It is further submitted that co-accused has already been granted the concession of interim bail prior to the aforesaid compromise having been effected. The petitioner is ready to join investigation and cooperate with the investigating agency.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Ambika Sood, Addl. A.G. Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and waives service. She prays for time to file reply/status report.

List on 07.11.2023.

In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join the investigation and would associate as and when called. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing personal bond and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions specified in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

[2] Today, learned State Counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 17.10.2023 and is no longer required for further investigation.

[3] In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 17.10.2023, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

[4] Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

24.01.2024
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No