

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

218

CRM-M-52966-2023

Date of decision: 10.01.2024

Thaman Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. H.P.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Luthra, DAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.125 dated 04.06.2022 under Sections 336/506/148/149 of the IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 (Section 307 of the IPC added lateron), registered at Police Station Sidhwan Bet, District Ludhiana Rural.

2. On 18.10.2023, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“Learned counsel, inter alia, contends that neither was the petitioner named in the FIR in question, which was registered on 04.06.2022, and even a perusal of the allegations levelled in the FIR reveals that it was co-accused Gurmeet Singh who had allegedly fired from a firearm, while he was accompanied by unidentified persons.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in

compliance of order dated 18.10.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Rajinder Singh, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 18.10.2023, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

10.01.2024

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No