

123

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 49781 of 2024

Date of Decision: 03.12.2024

Keshav and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Ravi Kant Berwal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
326	28.07.2024	Chandhut, District Palwal, Haryana	115, 190, 191(3), 287, 351(3) BNS, 25 of Arms Act and 3 of SC & ST (Prevention of Atrocities Amendment) Act, 2015

Seeking quashing of the FIR captioned above, the petitioners have come up before this Court under Section 528 BNSS, 2023.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that erroneously one of the injured has not been made party, however compromise has been entered into with other injured/victim and seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file fresh one.

3. Counsel for respondent No.2 does not dispute the aforesaid fact.

4. Given above, the present petition is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to file fresh one. There is no need to enter into fresh compromise with all the victims and a separate compromise deed with the victim who was not signatory to the previous compromise would suffice. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)

JUDGE

03.12.2024

Jyoti Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether reportable: No.