



211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-49731-2024
Date of decision : 28.10.2024

MAAN SINGH

... Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. G.C. Shahpuri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, Deputy A.G., Haryana.

Mr. B.S. Tewatia, Advocate
for the complainant.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in criminal case having FIR No.174 dated 05.06.2024 registered under Sections 420, 406 and 120-B of IPC at Police Station Sadar Hathin, District Palwal.

2. The allegations in nutshell are that complainant purchased certain land from Chanderpal father of the present petitioner vide registered sale deed dated 25.10.2023, in presence of the present petitioner. That later on complainant came to know said Chanderpal was not owner of the land so purchased by the complainant. There are also allegations that Chanderpal executed the said sale deed in favour of the



complainant, in collusion with the present petitioner and other members of his family in order to defraud the complainant.

3. On the last date of hearing detailed order was passed by this Court whereby the petitioner was granted interim bail with direction to join the investigation with the police.

4. Today, counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner apprised the Court that by virtue of the aforesaid order the petitioner has joined investigation with the police.

5. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Vijay Pal has not disputed the aforesaid assertions made by counsel for the petitioner and further submits that petitioner who has joined investigation is not required by the police for any further investigation or custodial interrogation. It is also brought to the notice of the Court that Chanderpal has already died.

6. Counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant while resisting the present petition submits that no doubt the sale deed was executed by Chanderpal but only with collusion of the present petitioner and his wife, in whose bank account Rs.2,00,000/- was deposited by the complainant.

7. There are no allegations that any part of the sale consideration was deposited or handed over to the present petitioner who was not signatory to the sale deed in question. In case, any money was deposited by the complainant in the bank account of wife of the petitioner with regard to sale transaction in question, the complainant is at liberty to



avail the efficacious remedy against her. The petitioner who has joined investigation with the police is not required for any further investigation or custodial interrogation by the Investigating Agency. In the given circumstances, no purpose is going to be served even if the petitioner is subjected to custodial interrogation at this point of time.

8. In light of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and order of interim bail dated 03.10.2024 is hereby made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS, 2023.

28.10.2024
Priyanka Thakur

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No