



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.50406 of 2024
Date of decision: 9th December, 2024

Baljeet Singh
... Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana
... Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Hakam Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rahul Mohan, Sr. Dy. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 482 of BNSS in case FIR No.274 dated 20.08.2024 under Sections 115, 115(2), 117, 117(2), 118(2), 126, 190, 191(2), 191(3), 351(3) of the BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station Ellenabad, District Sirsa.
2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 07.10.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, a Coordinate Bench of this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation.

“Occurrence is stated to have taken place on 18.08.2024 at about 09:30 p.m., when petitioner along with co-accused are alleged to have caused injuries to Sukhdev Kumar.

Learned counsel contends that petitioner has been falsely implicated; that the only role attributed to the

petitioner is to have blocked the way of the complainant. Learned counsel also contends that petitioner was not armed with any weapon nor participated in the crime; and that he is ready to join the investigation.

XXXX XXXX XXXX

Learned State counsel concedes the fact that petitioner was not armed with any weapon nor participated in the crime and that the role attributed to him is to have blocked the way of the complainant. The specific injury are attributed to co-accused Sonu and Karan.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 07.10.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from P/SI Lalit, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 07.10.2024 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./Section 482(2) BNSS. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

December 9, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No