

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

257+119

CRM-M No. 53773-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 08.02.2024

Ashok Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.  
Mr. B.S.Bali, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

\*\*\*\*

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J.(ORAL)

CRM-5888-2024

Prayer in the application filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking amendment in the petition pertaining to FIR No. 23 as FIR No. 231 dated 12.11.2013 registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC and Section 24 of Immigration Act, 1983 at Police Station Division No. 7 (Vardhman), District Ludhiana City, Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that due to typographical error, the number of FIR has been wrongly mentioned as FIR No. 23 whereas the FIR No. is 231 and as such the mistake has occurred due to *bona fide* inadvertence.

The application is allowed.

Amended petition filed with the application, is taken on record.

CRM-M No. 53773-2019

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No. 231 dated 12.11.2013 registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC and Section 24 of Immigration Act, 1983 at Police Station Division No. 7 (Vardhman), District Ludhiana City, Ludhiana and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 24.09.2014 (Annexure P-3).

2. The following order was passed on 22.11.2023:

*“Mr. B.S. Bali Advocate appears on behalf of respondent No.2 and files his memo of appearance, he undertakes to file his power of attorney on or before the next date of hearing.*

*In view of the compromise arrived at between the parties, let the parties appear before the trial Court/Area Magistrate, as the case may be, within a period of two weeks from today for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise. Trial Court is directed to report on the following points:-*

*(i) how many total accused are facing the trial;*

*(ii) whether any of the accused was declared proclaimed offender at any stage of trial;*

*(iii) status/stage of the trial/case;*

*(iv) to record the statements of all the concerned parties with regard to the genuineness and validity or otherwise of the compromise;*

*(v) to record the statement of Investigating Officer with regard to points No. (i), (ii) and (iii) as above. Report be sent through District and Sessions Judge, before the next date of hearing.*

*Adjourned to 22.12.2023.”*

3. In compliance of the order dated 22.11.2023 and 22.12.2023, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in **Parambir Singh Gill Vs. Malkiat Kaur 2010(1)**

**2024:PHHC:018289**

**08.02.2024**

Rajeev (rvs)

Yes/No  
Yes/No