



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-53001-2023

Date of decision: 09.12.2024

GURPREET SINGH

....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

....RESPONDENT

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. S.S. Cheema, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

Mr. Ravinder Singh, Deputy Superintendent of Police, is present in Court today.

2. By way of present petition filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
216	30.09.2023	420, 120-B of IPC	City Moga, District Moga.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 11.11.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such prayed for confirming the interim bail granted vide order dated 11.11.2024.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Satnam Singh, intimates the Court that the petitioner has joined investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.



CRM-M-53001-2023

2

5. During the course of hearing on 11.11.2024, following order was passed:

“It is inter alia contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner had been having money transactions with the complainant and it was on account of the same that the instant FIR has been got registered falsely by the complainant. He refers to representation Annexure P-1 dated 13.06.2022 given by the petitioner to Deputy Superintendent of Police, Dharamkot, much prior to registration of the instant FIR.

Learned State counsel while referring to the status report dated 01.03.2024 submitted by Deputy Superintendent of Police, (City) Moga has submitted that the said representation was filed as the petitioner failed to produce any witness to prove that he had advanced any loan to the co-accused Davinder Singh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the payment had been done through UPI transaction and the petitioner had even produced the details of the UPI transactions to the Deputy Superintendent of Police concerned.

Be it the case, the concerned Deputy Superintendent of Police, is directed to remain present in Court along with record on the next date of hearing.

List on 09.12.2024.

In the meanwhile, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and co-operate in the same. In the event of the arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He



CRM-M-53001-2023

3

shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS. ”

6. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 11.11.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 11.11.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

7. The petition stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

09.12.2024
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |