



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

111

CWP-26303-2024
Date of decision:14.10.2024

AMRITPAL SINGHPetitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS ...Respondents


CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present : Mr. J.S. Bhinder, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shweta Nahata, Senior Panel Counsel
for the respondent No.2-UOI.

ALOK JAIN. J.(Oral)

1. The present petition brings out a glaring fact whereby the passport of the petitioner has been cancelled (Annexure P-1), however, now the petitioner has filed this petition, seeking a direction from this Court for issuing a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing respondent No.2 to re-issue fresh passport by adding the name of his wife i.e. Dilpreet Kaur with whom he got married on 13.11.2023.
2. It is very strange that in the entire petition the petitioner has concealed the material fact that his earlier passport has already stood cancelled and therefore there seems to be some deception in the conduct of the petitioner. Moreso, the signatures on the alleged passport does not seems to be of the same person, as done on the Power of Attorney and the affidavit filed with this petition.
3. Notice of motion.



- 4. Ms. Shweta Nahata, Senior Panel Counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-UOI.
- 5. After having heard at length the counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawn of the present petition at this stage.
- 6. In the light of the above, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with a direction to the respondent authorities to thoroughly verify the antecedents of the present petitioner.
- 7. Needless to say that the respondent authorities shall keep a strict vigil on such kind of cases, where an amendment is sought on a cancelled passport but still the matter was proceeded for seeking the Police verification by the respondent authorities.

14.10.2024
amandeep

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No