



223 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10206-2023
Date of decision: 22.07.2024

NIMMO

...PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Ms. Kiranpreet Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India is for directing the respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect the life and personal liberty of the petitioner and her family members as they apprehend danger to their life and liberty at the hands of respondent Nos.4 and 5.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the petitioner is being constantly harassed by respondent Nos.4 and 5. She is a lady and her harassment and victimization by respondent Nos.4 and 5 has compelled her to approach this Court. On 29.08.2023 at about 7:00 AM, the police party consisting 06 male police employees and one female police employee raided the house of the petitioner and thereafter, she was illegally detained for one night. In this regard, she has made three applications to the National Commission, which are available on record as Annexure P-1. Thereafter, respondent nos.4 and 5 started pressurizing the petitioner to withdraw her complaints. As such, petitioner is not left with any other alternative remedy except to approach this Court.

3. Per contra, learned State counsel, on instructions from Inspector Gurinder Kumar, opposes the prayer on the ground that one of the close relatives of the petitioner is involved in police encounter in which, he has fired upon the police party and 12 cases are pending against him, which include one double murder case. The police party went to the house of the petitioner on a tip that Sukha Singh and Sunil Kumar fugitives are residing in her house and police party, admittedly, was accompanied by a female police official and all the allegations made by the petitioner are incorrect and as per her allegations, the alleged incident has taken place on 29.08.2023, whereas, she filed her first complaint on 11.09.2023, which creates serious doubt on her allegations and the present petition has been filed just to put pressure on the investigating agency to spare her relatives, who are involved in number of cases.
4. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and after perusal of the record of the case, this Court finds no ground to issue any further directions.
5. Accordingly, present petition stands dismissed being devoid of any merit.

July 22, 2024
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No