



105

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No. 9553 of 2024

Date of Decision: 03.10.2024

Arun @ Shankar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. V.S. Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harpreet Kaur, A.A.G., Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

1. Seeking extension of parole for six weeks, the petitioner has come up before this Court under Article 226 of Constitution of India.
2. Counsel for the petitioner confines his prayer to the extent that if he approaches the concerned authority for limited parole for operation of his father, the same be decided positively within three days of its filing.
3. Notice served upon the official respondent through State counsel. The nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of calling any response from the State.
4. In view of prayer made by counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file an application before the concerned Jail Superintendent for parole on 07.10.2024 and the concerned Officer is directed to decide the same on or before 12.10.2024. In case any favourable order is passed, in that case, the petitioner shall be released on personal bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned Jail Superintendent. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

03.10.2024

Jyoti Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether reportable: No.