



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(150)

CWP No. 26325 of 2024
Date of Decision : 14.10.2024

Navtej Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Kunal Choksi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaganeshwar Walia, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that they are working as a Class-IV employees but they are not being paid minimum of the pay scale, which act on the part of the respondents is contrary to the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in *State of Punjab and others Vs. Jagjit Singh and others*, 2016(4) SCT 641.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 10.05.2023 (Annexure P-8) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.



4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Gaganeshwar Walia, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 10.05.2023 (Annexure P-8) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioners in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioners.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

October 14, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No