



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

266

CRM-M-54642-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11.11.2024

RAVI KUMAR @ NAVJOT SINGH AND ANR.

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present : Mr. Ajay Singla, Legal aid counsel
for the petitioners.

Ms. Jasdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rajinder Yadav, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J.

[1] The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C for quashing of FIR No.106 dated 21.05.2021, under Sections 498-A and 494 IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Kapurthala, District Kapurthala (Annexure P-1), on the basis of compromise (Annexures P-2 and P-3) executed between the parties.

[2] On the last date of hearing i.e. 01.08.2024, contentions of learned State counsel were recorded that the petitioners have been declared proclaimed offenders by the trial Court vide order dated 15.07.2024. Today, learned State counsel has submitted copy of the order dated 15.07.2024 passed by learned



Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kapurthala, which is taken on record. As per the said order, both the petitioners have been declared proclaimed offenders .

[3] Vide order dated 23.05.2024, request was made on behalf of learned counsel for respondent No.2 to file reply submitting that some of the terms and conditions were required to be implemented by way of transfer of some share in the properties. As per the terms and conditions (Annexure P-2), petitioner No.1- Navjot Singh @ Ravi Kumar had agreed to transfer his share in house in the name of respondent No.2, as mentioned in para No.3 of the said settlement. However, there is nothing on record that the said condition was fulfilled.

[4] Secondly, the petitioners are abusing the process of law and have been declared proclaimed offenders. The proclamation of the petitioners under Section 82 Cr.P.C. has been duly effected as per order dated 15.07.2024 passed by the trial Court.

[5] In such circumstances, the petitioners are not entitled for the relief of quashing of the present FIR on the basis of compromise.

[6] Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

[7] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

11.11.2024
P.Bhatt

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No