



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(131)

CWP No. 26145 of 2024
Date of Decision : 14.10.2024

Jaspreet Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rajeev Anand, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Anu Chatrath, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab with
Mr. Swapan Shorey, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that though the petitioner has obtained the same marks as obtained by the last selected candidate in the Freedom Fighter Category, who has been appointed as ETT Teacher but the petitioner has not been selected in the select list so as to be appointed as ETT Teacher, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 23.07.2024 (Annexure P-9) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.



3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Anu Chatrath, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab with Mr. Swapan Shorey, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who are present in Court, accept notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 23.07.2024 (Annexure P-9) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

October 14, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No