



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

234

CRWP-9613-2024

Date of Decision : **November 25, 2024**

MANJEET KAUR @ MANJIT KAUR AND ANR

.....Petitioners

**VERSUS**

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present : Mr. Atul Lakhanpal, Sr. Advocate assisted by  
Ms. Caral, Advocate and  
Ms. Shikha Charak, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Malkiat Singh, DAG, Punjab.

**KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)**

1. Through the instant petition, as filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, prayer is made for casting mandamus upon the official respondents to ensure the protection of the life and liberty of the present petitioners.

2. This Court while drawing an order dated 4.10.2024, had directed the State to file reply with regard to threat perception, if any, to the present petitioners.

3. Short reply dated 12.11.2024 by way of affidavit of Kanwalpreet Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Patti, District Tarn Taran has been filed today in Court by the learned State counsel, the same is taken on record.

4. The study survey of the reply (supra) reflects that there is no threat perception as on date to the present petitioners and the dispute involved is with regard to a property *qua* which civil case is already pending adjudication.

5. In view of the above, this Court is of the view that no mandamus is required to be passed as on date. However, the petitioners are at liberty to approach the authority concerned/trial Court, in case any actual threat perception exists to their life and liberty.

6. **Disposed** of accordingly.

November 25, 2024  
ajay-1

(KULDEEP TIWARI)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No  
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No