



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-50324-2024
Date of decision: 03.12.2024

AMARJIT SINGH ALIAS AMARJITPETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER ...RESPONDENT

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Angad Parmar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Shukla, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Kashish Thakur, Advocate for
Ms. Mehak Bedi, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, petitioner seeks quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR (Annexure P-1) are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
109	14.12.2017	420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC	Begowal, District Kapurthala.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kapurthala, vide report dated 18.11.2024 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between

CRM-M-50324-2024

2

the parties is genuine and without any pressure. The petitioner has also deposited cost of Rs.15,000/- in compliance of order dated 14.10.2024.

3. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the report of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kapurthala, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioner/accused person.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 109 dated 14.12.2017 under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Begowal, District Kapurthala, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioner.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

03.12.2024
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |