

**CWP-25941-2024****-1-****109 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CWP-25941-2024****Date of decision: 14.10.2024****RAJEEV KUMAR SONI****...PETITIONER****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.****...RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR**

Present: Dr. Rau P.S. Girwar, Advocate;
Ms. Archana Puhanian, Advocate and
Mr. K T Rau, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Sehgal, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Ms. Mona Yadav, Advocate for
Mr. Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate
for respondent No.4-PUNSUP.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking a writ of certiorari for quashing the Dissent Note dated 20.10.2023 (Annexure P-12), Charge Sheet dated 04.07.2017 (Annexure P-8) along with its subsequent proceedings, recovery order dated 28.08.2024 (Annexure P-15) for being not in consistence with the Departmental Inquiry report submitted by Respondent No.3 as well as for not being not in consistence circular(s) Annexure P-19 dated 10.08.2012, 21.04.2011, 23.04.2012, 27.04.2012, 10.05.2016 and 02.04.2014. Further a writ of mandamus has been sought for direction to decide the appeal dated 16.09.2024 (Annexure P-16) and Review dated 18.09.2024 (Anexure P-17).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for deciding the appeal dated 16.09.2024 (Annexure P-16) filed by the petitioner expeditiously by passing a

**CWP-25941-2024****-2-**

3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Rajesh Sehgal, Addl. AG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1.
5. Ms. Mona Yadav, Advocate for Mr. Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-PUNSUP and submits that the appeal filed by the petitioner shall be decided expeditiously.
6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.
7. The Appellate Authority is directed to decide the appeal dated 16.09.2024 (Annexure P-16) filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law by passing a speaking order as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of 06 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.
8. Since the statutory appeal filed by the petitioner is pending and yet to be decided, it will be in the interest of justice that no further recovery be effected from the petitioner.
9. Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

14.10.2024

*renubala***(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No