



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(139)

CWP No. 26176 of 2024
Date of Decision : 14.10.2024

Jagjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Swapan Shorey, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that petitioner is working for the last 35 years with the respondents but her services have not been regularized, which is arbitrary and illegal.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the question of law raised in the present petition has already been decided by this Court while passing order in CWP No. 26714 of 2019 titled as ***Hira Devi and others Vs. State of Punjab and others***, decided on 19.07.2024.
- 3.. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised in the legal notice dated 08.07.2024 (Annexure P-8) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.



4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Swapan Shorey, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 08.07.2024 (Annexure P-8) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided in view of the order passed in ***Hira Devi and others (supra)*** by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned State counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

October 14, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No